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| **Marker Comments**  **Compliance & Presentation**  *Does this assignment follow the requirements?*  *Is the assignment correct in format?*  *Is the assignment presented in a clean fashion?*  *Are concepts from the course applied?*  **Research Quality & Sources**  *What is the academic rigor of this assignment?*  *Does the assignment have adequate sources?*  *Are concepts from the course applied correctly?*  **Writing Quality**  *Does this assignment use proper grammar & punctuation?*  *Is the writing strong, active, and interesting?*  *Are sentences concise and clear?*  *Does the assignment flow through proper transitions?*  **Argument**  *Are the arguments clearly stated?*  *Are the arguments sound and focused?*  *Does the evidence provided support the arguments?*  *Are the arguments conceptually based?*  **Analysis of Method**  *Is the analysis analytical rather than descriptive?*  *Does the analysis utilize appropriate scholarship?*  *How well does the analysis capture the literature?*  *Does the analysis contribute to future research?*  *Are concepts from the course explicated throughout?* | **The project has always been an interesting one, but this paper is a bit confusing, as you mention several methods, including a content analysis, and it is unclear what you did or are proposing to do. I see a lot of good background and the beginnings of a project, but the methods are a bit confusing.**  **One of the concerns re: this paper is that you mention case study, though there is not information here on what a case study is, which could have been done within the rigor of the review, conceptual framework, and the other methods. Source material in much of the few pages is also lacking.**  **The writing is fairly good, but the organization is a bit messy. It is unclear the structure in some areas, while some syntax is a bit confusing in others. The flow of the paper is there, but could use some attention.**  **Within the argument, there is not enough data that is adequately connected to the theory. In that vein, it is unclear the particular theoretical set(s) that appear here. Butler is mentioned, for instance, but it is not clear how her work appears in the analysis.**  **The methods are a bit scattered. It would have been better to pick one and go with it. I thought we discussed that the case study is a much different approach and that this isn’t really one. I am a bit confused about the content analysis and what coding structure would be used – more to the point the research using CA that you would be applying in creating your scheme.** |
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